Pe	rmit	/File	:#:
			,

NC DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	ROY COOPER • Governor KODY H. KINSLEY • Secretary MARK BENTON • Chief Deputy Secretary for Health SUSAN KANSAGRA • Assistant Secretary for Public Health Division of Public Health Image: The secretary for Secretary for Public Health Fee \$
IMPROVEMEN	T PERMIT FOR G.S. 130A-335(a2)
County: Harnett	
PIN/Lot Identifier: 0640-11-7864.000	-
Issued To: Great Southern Homes Inc.	
Property Location: 143 Grand Griffon Way, Lillin	ngton, NC 27546
Subdivision (if applicable) Griffon Pointe	Lot #: 7 Block: Section:
LSS Report Provided: Yes 🔳 No 🗌	
If yes, name and license number of LSS: Scott Mitchell	- 1237
New Expansion Eacility Type. Single-Family Dwelling Unit	System Relocation
Number of bedrooms: 4 Number of Occupants: ^{8 or les}	^s Other:
Design Wastewater Strength: Domestic	High Strength Industrial Process Wastewater
Proposed Design Daily Flow: 480 GPD Pr	oposed LTAR (Initial): 0.45 Proposed LTAR (Repair): 0.45
Proposed Wastewater System Type*: IIb	(Initial) Pump Required: Yes No May be required
Proposed Wastewater System Type*: Ille	(Repair) Pump Required: 🗌 Yes 🔳 No 🗌 May be required
*Please include system classification for proposed wastewate	r system types in accordance with Rule .1301 Table XXXII
Effluent Standard: 🔳 DSE 🔛 HSE 🛄 NSF/ANSI 40 [TS-I TS-II RCW
Saprolite System (Initial): 🗌 Yes 🔳 No Saprolite Sys	stem (Repair): 🗌 Yes 🔳 No
Fill System (Initial): 🗌 Yes 🔳 No If yes, specify: 🗌 New	Existing (when adding more than 6 inches of fill to system area provide a fill plan)
Fill System (Repair): Yes No If yes, specify: New	Existing (when adding more than 6 inches of fill to system area provide a fill plan)
Usable Depth to LC (Initial) ^x : <u>24</u> Us	able Depth to LC (Repair) ^x : 245 th <i>x Limiting Condition</i>
Max. Trench Depth (Initial) [‡] : 20 IIICINES Max. Trench	Depth (Repair)*: 30 INCNES * Measured on the downhill side of the trench
Artificial Drainage Required: Ves No If yes, please sp	ecify details:
Type of Water Supply: Private well Public well	Shared well Municipal Supply Spring Other:
Permit valid for: Five years [site plan submitted pursuant t	NO Drainfield location meets requirements of Rule .0601: Yes No
Permit conditions:	ED SULL SC.
	STATE STATE
Licensed Soil Scientist Print Name: Scott Mitchel	
Licensed Soil Scientist Signature:	Date: June 6, 2024
The LSS evaluation is being subhrited	pursuant to and meets the requirements of G.S. 130A-335(a2).
NCDHHS/DPH/EHS/OSWP	Revised January 2024

Permit/File #: _____



This Section for Local Health Department Use Only

Initial submittal received: ______ by _____

Date Initials

G.S. 130A-335(a3) states the following:

When an applicant for an Improvement Permit submits to a local health department an Improvement Permit application, the permit fee charged by the local health department, the common form developed by the Department, and a soil evaluation pursuant to subsection (a2) of this section, the local health department shall, within five business days of receiving the application, conduct a completeness review of the submittal. A determination of completeness means that the Improvement Permit includes all of the required components. If the local health department determines that the Improvement Permit is incomplete, the local health department shall notify the applicant of the components needed to complete the Improvement Permit. The applicant may submit additional information to the local health department to cure the deficiencies in the Improvement Permit. The local health department shall make a final determination as to whether the Improvement Permit is complete within five business days after the local health department receives the additional information from the applicant. If the local health department fails to act within any period set out in this subsection, the applicant may treat the failure to act as a determination of completeness. The Department shall develop a common form for use as the Improvement Permit.

The review for completeness of this Improvement Permit was conducted in accordance with G.S. 130A-335(a3). This Improvement Permit is determined to be:

This Improvement Permit is issued pursuant to G.S. 130A-335 (a2) and (a3) using the signed and sealed LSS/LG evaluation(s) attached here. The issuance of this permit in no way guarantees the issuance of other permits. The permit holder is responsible for checking with appropriate governing bodies in meeting their requirements. <u>This permit is subject to revocation if the site plan, plat, or the intended use changes.</u> The Improvement Permit shall not be affected by a change in ownership of the site. This permit is subject to compliance with the provisions of 15A NCAC 18E and to the conditions of this permit.

The Department, the Department's authorized agents, and the local health departments shall be discharged and released from any liabilities, duties, and responsibilities imposed by statute or in common law from any claim arising out of or attributed to evaluations, submittals, or actions from a licensed soil scientist or licensed geologist pursuant to GS 130A-335(a2).

Improvement Permit Expiration Date: ______

See attached site sketch



Permit/File #: _____

Re-submittal of Improvement Permit

LHD USE ONLY: This IP resubmittal received:		by	
	Date	Initials	

The following items are being resubmitted pursuant to G.S. 130A-335(a3) for issuance of the Improvement Permit:

I, _______hereby attest that the information required to be included with this re-submittal Licensed Soil Scientist (Print Name) is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and that the proposed Improvement Permit meets all applicable federal, State, and local laws, regulations, rules, and ordinances.

Signature of Licensed Soil Scientist

Date

The section below is for Local Health Department use after submittal of items noted as missing above.

LHD Follow-up Completeness Review of Improvement Permit

The review for completeness of this Improvement Permit re-submittal was conducted in accordance with G.S. 130A-335(a3). This Improvement Permit is determined to be:

Date

Incomplete (If box is checked, information in this section is required.)

The following items are missing:

Copies of this were sent to the LSS and the Applicant on

State Authorized Agent: _____

Complete

State Authorized Agent: _____

Date:

Date:

Mitchell Environmental, P.A.

I hereby authorize representatives of Mitchell Environmental, P.A., to provide subsurface wastewater evaluations and septic system designs on my behalf, for the issuance of an IP and CA, for the property identified below.

For Improvement Permit (IP) issuance:

"The LSS/LG evaluation(s) attached to this application is to be used to issue an Improvement Permit in accordance with G.S. 130A-335(a2) and (a3)."

For Construction Authorization (CA) issuance:

"The plans or evaluations attached to this application are to be used to issue a Construction Authorization in accordance with G.S. 130A-335(a2), (a5), and (a6)."

The LSS evaluation attached to this application was used to produce and design a subsurface wastewater septic system for permitting to obtain an IP and CA in accordance with G.S. 130A-335(a2), (a3), (a5), and (a6).

	Griffon Pointe lot 7 ·	- 143 Grand Griffon Way
Subject Property (Address, PIN, etc.):		

Property Owner Name (*Print*): <u>Great Southern Homes</u>

Owner Representative (*Print*): Blake Whitaker

Owner Representative (Sign): ______Blake Whitaker

Date: 6/3/24

1501 Lakestone Village Lane, Suite 205 Fuquay-Varina, North Carolina 27526 919-669-0329

Mitchell Environmental, P.A.

June 6, 2024

Mr. Blake Whitaker Great Southern Homes Inc. 917 Chapin Road Chapin, South Carolina 29036

Re: On-Site Sewage Disposal Site and Soils Evaluation Report for: Griffon Pointe Subdivision – Lot 7 143 Grand Griffon Way, Lillington, Harnett County

Mr. Whitaker:

At your request, we have completed a site evaluation for use of on-site sewage disposal systems at Lot 7 of Griffon Pointe Subdivision located at 143 Grand Griffon Way in Lillington, Harnett County. The site evaluation was completed using pits on February 21, 2024, under moist soil conditions, based on the criteria found in the Subchapter 18E – Wastewater Treatment and Dispersal Systems Rules, 15A NCAC 18E. This report was prepared pursuant to and meets the requirements of G.S. 130A-335(a2).

Site Evaluation for Use of On-Site Sewage Disposal Systems:

The evaluation included all usable areas of the property as limited by state and local laws, rules, and regulations. The purpose of the evaluation was to determine the suitability of the site for onsite waste disposal systems per applicable laws, rules, and regulations. **"The LSS evaluation is being submitted pursuant to and meets the requirements of G.S. 130A-335(a2)."**

A soil/site evaluation for use of on-site waste disposal systems on any site in North Carolina must include an evaluation of each of the following criteria: 1) topography and landscape position, 2) soil morphology, 3) soil wetness, 4) soil depth, 5) restrictive horizons and 6) available space. Upon field evaluation of the site, the majority of the lot was confirmed to contain sufficient suitable depth for on-site waste disposal systems.

Sites classified as suitable may be utilized for ground absorption sewage treatment and disposal systems consistent with the rules listed above, but may have limitations that require some modifications and careful planning, design, and installation in order for a ground absorption sewage treatment and disposal system to function satisfactorily. Typically, a minimum of 36 inches of suitable soil is required for a site to receive a classification of suitable; however, shallower soil depths can be classified as suitable where all other evaluation criteria are acceptable and alternative septic system designs (*shallow placement, fill systems, low-pressure pipe systems (LPP), large diameter pipe (LDP), sub-surface drip, etc.*) are proposed.

Most septic systems in North Carolina that include a sub-surface waste disposal element require nitrification trenches to distribute effluent for final treatment. Any nitrification trench that has an associated width (*conventional, LPP, LDP, etc.*) must be designed to accommodate slope corrections (*typically 1 to 4 inches*). Slope corrections are based on trench width and cross slope to ensure the minimum separation distance between the trench bottom and an unsuitable soil condition is maintained over the entire trench width. Sloping sites are required to have greater suitable soil depth to accommodate slope correction as opposed to flat sites that require no slope

1501 Lakestone Village Lane, Suite 205 Fuquay-Varina, North Carolina 27526 919-669-0329 correction. Please note that all proposed lots that utilize sub-surface nitrification fields must have sufficient area for the initial septic system as well as a full repair system. However, the initial and repair systems are not required to be the same type of system, nor are they required to be contiguous. For example, a lot may have a conventional, gravity system installed as the initial septic system and specify an LPP or subsurface drip system for its repair, several hundred feet away from the house or other structure being served.

The number of bedrooms or wastewater design flowrate that any lot will accommodate is entirely dependent upon the usable area of the lot and the long-term acceptance rate (*LTAR*; *LTAR* is the effluent application rate for a septic system. For conventional systems, the LTAR indicates the number of gallons that can be applied to each square foot of the trench bottom per day. For an LPP or subsurface drip system, the LTAR indicates the number of gallons that can be applied to each square foot 0.2 gallons per day per ft² (gpd/ft²) will require a nitrification field that is twice as large as a field that has an LTAR of 0.4 gpd/ft².). Assigned LTARs will affect the number of bedrooms or wastewater design flowrate lots will accommodate as illustrated above. LTARs can vary from one location to another on a property. Our observations indicate that the majority of the lot contains sufficient suitable soil depth to accommodate subsurface wastewater systems with an LTAR of 0.45 to 0.50 gpd/ft². Observed suitable soil depths on this site range from 39 inches to greater than 49 inches, with an LTAR controlling soil texture of sandy clay loam.

Topography on this lot can be generally characterized as a gentle to moderate convex side slope that generally sheds to the southeast. Based on observed site and soil characteristics, in combination with the proposed plot plan, it is my professional opinion that adequate available space exists on this lot for properly designed septic system drainfields (*initial and repair*) sufficient for one, four-bedroom home.

This site evaluation is based upon the conditions of the site at the time of the evaluation. Any alteration of the site, including compaction, clearing, grading, timbering, etc., could negatively affect the suitability for on-site septic systems. Great care should be exercised during site preparation to protect areas that are to be utilized for septic system nitrification fields. No vehicular or construction traffic should be allowed on these areas. Additionally, no sedimentation and erosion control devices or stormwater collection, treatment, diversion, or dispersal devices should be allowed on or near these areas.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide you with this wastewater system soil suitability evaluation. Do not hesitate to call me if you have any questions or concerns about this evaluation or if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,



Scott Mitchell, PE, LSS *President*

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM (Complete all fields in full)

OWNE	WNER: Great Southern Homes Inc. DATE EVALUATED: 02/21/2024													
ADDR PROP(LOCA WATE EVALI	ROPORESS917_Chapter Road, Chapter, SC 29030 ROPOSED FACILITY: Single-Family DwellingPROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.0400):480PROPERTY SIZE:0.687 acres OCATION OF SITE:143 Grand Griffon Way, LillingtonPROPERTY RECORDED: 07/13/2022 VATER SUPPLY: X PublicSingle Family WellShared WellSpringOtherWATER SUPPLY SETBACK: VALUATION METHOD:Auger Boring X PitCutTYPE OF WASTEWATER: X DomesticHigh StrengthIPWW													
P R O F			SOIL MO	RPHOLOGY	OTHEI	R PROFII	LE FACT(DRS						
I L E #	.0502 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	.0503 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.0503 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	.0504 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.0505 SOIL DEPTH	.0506 SAPRO CLASS	.0507 RESTR HORIZ	.0509 PROFILE CLASS & LTAR*	.0502(d) SLOPE CORRE CTION				
	LL, 10%	A, 0-6	SL, G	VFR, NS, NP, NEXP	-									
1		Bt, 6-42+	SCL, SBK	FR, SS, SP, SEXP	39" (observed)	42+			S, 0.50					
	LL, 10%	A, 0-6	SL, G	VFR, NS, NP, NEXP										
		Bt, 6-42+	SCL, SBK	FR, SS, SP, SEXP		42+			S, 0.45					
2					-									
					-									
	VV, 3%	A, 0-6	SL, G	VFR, NS, NP, NEXF										
		Bt, 6-45+	SCL, SBK	FR, SS, SP, SEXP	-	45+			S, 0.50					
3					-									
					-									
Γ	VV, 1%	A, 0-6	SL, G	VFR, NS, NP, NEXP										
		Bt, 6-43+	SCL, SBK	FR, SS, SP, SEXP		43+			S, 0.50					
4														

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM		
Available Space (.0508)	Yes	Yes	SITE CLASSIFICATION (.0509):	Suitable
System Type(s) IIb		llle	EVALUATED BY:	Scott Mitchell / Adam Aycock
Site LTAR	0.45	0.45	OTHER(S) PRESENT:	
Maximum Trench Depth	28" on Low Side	30" on Low Side		
Comments:				

LEGEND

LANDSCAPE POSITION	SOIL GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL SAPROLITE LTAR (gpd/ft²) LTAR (gpd/ft²)		LPP LTAR (gpd/ft²)	MINERA CONSIS	STRUCTURE	
CC (Concave slope)		S (Sand)		0.6 - 0.8		MOIST	WET	SG (Single grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy sand)	0.8 - 1.2	0.5 -0.7	0.4 -0.6	Lo (Loose)	NS (Non-sticky)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage way)		SL (Sandy loam)	0.6 - 0.8	0.4 -0.6	0.3 - 0.4	VFR (Very friable)	SS (Slightly sticky)	GR (Granular)
FP (Flood plain)		L (Loam)		0.2 - 0.4		FR (Friable)	S (Sticky)	SBK (Subangular blocky)
FS (Foot slope)		SiL (Silt Ioam)		0.1 - 0.3		Fl (Firm)	VS (Very sticky)	ABK (Angular blocky)
H (Head slope)		SCL (Sandy clay Ioam)		0.05 - 0.15**		VFI (Very firm)	NP (Non-plastic)	PR (Prismatic)
L (Linear Slope)	III	CL (Clay loam)	0.3 - 0.6		0.15 - 0.3	EFI (Extremely firm)	SP (Slightly plastic)	PL (Platy)
N (Nose slope)		SiCL (Silty clay loam)					P (Plastic)	
R (Ridge/summit)		Si (Silt)		None			VP (Very plastic)	
S (Shoulder slope)		SC (Sandy clay)				SEXP (Slightly	v expansive)	
T (Terrace)	IV	SiC (Silty clay)	0.1 - 0.4		0.05 - 0.2	EXP (Exp	ansive)	
TS (Toe Slope)		C (Clay)						-
		O (Organic)	None]		

* Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality. **Sandy clay loam saprolite can only be used with advanced pretreatment in accordance with 15A NCAC 18E .1200.

In inches below natural soil surface

In inches from land surface

HORIZON DEPTH DEPTH OF FILL RESTRICTIVE HORIZON SAPROLITE SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Thickness and depth from land surface

S(suitable) or U(unsuitable); Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits. Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation S (Suitable) or U (Unsuitable) Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION

(Continuation Sheet-Complete all field in full)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DIVISION OF PUBLIC HEALTH ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SECTION ON-SITE WATER PROTECTION BRANCH PROPERTY ID #: _____ DATE OF EVALUATION: _____ COUNTY: _____

P R O F			SOIL MO	RPHOLOGY	OTHEI	R PROFIL	DRS			
I L E #	.0502 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	.0503 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.0503 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	.0504 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.0505 SOIL DEPTH	.0506 SAPRO CLASS	.0507 RESTR HORIZ	.0509 PROFILE CLASS & LTAR*	.0502(d) SLOPE CORRE CTION
	VL, 6%	A, 0-6	SL, G	VFR, NS, NP, NEXP						
		Bt, 6-49+	SCL, SBK	FR, SS, SP, SEXP		49+			S, 0.60	
5										
Ŭ										

