

July 10, 2025

Contractor: Top Tier Solar Solutions

Contractor Address: 1530 Center Park Dr #2911, Charlotte, NC

28217

Subject: Proposed Solar Panel Installation

Patty Scardino Residence, 1591 Oakridge Duncan Rd, Fuquay-Varina, NC

DC System Size: 6.480 kW PV Letters Job #004-26901

To Whom it May Concern,

We have reviewed information, provided by our client, related to the proposed solar panel installation at the above-referenced address. The purpose of the review was to determine if the existing roof is structurally adequate for the proposed installation. Based on our review and analysis of the given information, and in accordance with governing building codes, I certify that the capacity of the structural roof framing that directly supports the additional gravity loading due to the solar panel supports and modules had been reviewed and determined to meet or exceed the requirements in accordance with the Design Criteria.

Design Parameter Summary

Governing Building Code: 2018 North Carolina Residential Code

Risk Category: II Wind Exposure: C

Design Wind Speed: 120 mph Ground Snow Load: 15 psf

Roof Information

Roof Structure: 2x4 Manufactured Trusses @ 24" O.C. (assumed)

Roofing Material: Corrugated Metal

Roof Slope: 9 degrees

Roof Connection Details

S-5! ProteaBracket to existing metal roof, at 45" O.C. max

Stagger attachments to avoid overloading any individual truss top chord.

Engineering Analysis

The proposed installation - including weight of panels, racking, mounts, and inverters where applicable - will be approximately 3 psf. In the areas where panels are installed, roof live loads will not be present. The reduction of roof live load is adequate to fully or partially compensate for the addition of the panel installation. Because the member forces in the area of the solar panels are not increased by more than 5%, and so per provisions in the adopted building codes, the structure need not be altered for gravity loading.

The proposed installation will be 6" max. above the roof surface (flush mounted) and parallel to the roof surface. Therefore, any increase in wind loading on the building structure from the solar panel installation is expected to be negligible. Wind is the governing lateral load case. Because the increase in lateral loading is not increased by more than 10%, per provisions in the adopted building codes, the structure need not be altered for lateral loading.

Wind uplift on the panels has been calculated in accordance with the relevant provisions of ASCE 7-10. This loading has been used to verify the adequacy of the connection specified above. Connection locations should be in accordance with design drawings.

IronRidge XR10 rails will support the modules and will fasten to the roof structure with S-5! ProteaBracket along the rail.

Conclusion

The roof structure need not be altered for either gravity loading (including snow) or lateral loading (including wind). Therefore, the existing structure is permitted to remain unaltered. Connections to the roof must be made per the "Roof Connection Details" section above. Copies of all relevant calculations are enclosed.

Limitations and Disclaimers

Electrical design is excluded from this analysis. Waterproofing is the sole responsibility of the installer and is also excluded from this analysis. Solar panels must be installed per manufacturer specifications. Structural design and analysis of the adequacy of solar panels, racks, mounts, and other components is performed by each component's respective manufacturer; the undersigned makes no statement of opinion regarding such components. This letter and the opinions expressed herein are rendered solely for the benefit of the permitting authority (city or county building department) and your office, and may not be utilized or relied on by any other party.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact us at (208)-994-1680, or by email at Projects@pvletters.com.

Sincerely,

Trevor A. Jones, P.E.

7/10/2025



Standard Loading Comparison

This calculation justifies the additional solar load by comparing existing to proposed gravity loads in the location of the solar panels.

Without Solar

With Solar

Dead Load			
Corrugated Metal	3	3	psf
1/2" Plywood	1	1	psf
Framing	4	4	psf
Insulation	1	1	psf
1/2" Gypsum Ceiling	2	2	psf
M,E, & Misc	1.5	1.5	psf
Solar Panel	0	3	psf
Total Dead Load	12.5	15.5	psf
Snow Load			
Ground Snow Load, Pg	1:	5	psf
Exposure Factor, C _e	1.0	00	
Thermal Factor, C _t	1.	1	
Importance Factor, I _s	1		
Flat Roof Snow Load	12	2	ASCE 7 Eqn. 7.3-1 or jurisdiction min.
Slope	ç)	degrees
Unobstructed Slippery Surface?	Yes	Yes	
Slope Factor, C _s	1.00	1.00	
Sloped Roof Snow Load	11.6	11.6	psf
Live Load			
Roof Live Load	20	0	psf
Load Combination			
D + Lr	32.5	15.5	psf
D + S	24.1	27.1	psf
Max. Load	32.5	27.1	psf
% of original		83.23%	_
D 1/	D 41 4 1 1	e 1	1 41 1 4 1

Result:

Because the total forces are decreased, per the relevant code provisions stated in the body of the letter, the existing roof structure is permitted to remain unaltered.



Bracket Connection Calculation (per ASCE 7-10)

This calculation justifies the connection of the solar panels to existing roof members, by showing the connection capacity is equal to or greater than the uplift force demands.

Connection Demand

Spacing perpendicular to rail, in Roof Angle, degrees Roof Layout Wind Speed, mph Exposure Coefficient, K_z Topographic Factor, K_{zt} Directionality Factor, K_d Elevation Factor, K_e Velocity Pressure q_z, psf **Prying Coefficient**

34
9
Gable
120
0.90
1.00
0.85
1.00
28.2
1

Zones:

Spacing parallel to rail, in
GC_p (max)
Exposed Panels? ($\gamma_E = 1.5$)
Effective Wind Area on each ac

Effective Wind Area on each con., ft² Pressure Equalization Factor, γ_a

Uplift Force, psf

Max. Uplift Force / Connection (0.6 WL), lbs

Solar Dead Load (0.6 DL). Lbs

Max. Uplift Force (0.6 WL - 0.6 DL), lbs

<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
45	45	45
0.90	2.20	2.60
No	No	No
10.6	10.6	10.6
0.79	0.79	0.79
20.0	48.9	57.8
127.5	311.8	368.5
19.1	19.1	19.1
108.4	292.6	349.3

Connection Capacity

Connection Type Ultimate Capacity, lbs Factor of Safety Total Capacity, lbs

S-5! ProteaBracket

817	
2.00	
408.5	

Compare ASD Factored Demand to Capacity

Demand, lbs Capacity, lbs

Result

349.3
408.5

Capacity exceeds demands. Therefore, connection passes.