



PV Letters

June 14, 2023

Contractor Name: Top Tier Solar Solutions
Contractor Address: 1530 Center Park Dr
#2911, Charlotte, NC

Subject: Proposed Solar Panel Installation
Glendon Savage Residence, 211 Deer View, Sanford, NC
DC System Size: 7.110 kW
PV Letters Job #004-0521

To Whom it May Concern,

I have reviewed information, provided by you or your office, related to the proposed solar panel installation at the above-referenced address. The purpose of the review was to determine if the existing roof is structurally adequate for the proposed installation. Based on my review and analysis of the given information, and in accordance with governing building codes, it is my professional opinion that the existing structure is permitted to remain unaltered for the proposed solar installation.

Design Parameter Summary

Governing Building Code: 2018 North Carolina Residential Code
Risk Category: II
Wind Exposure: C
Design Wind Speed: 130 mph
Ground Snow Load: 10 psf

Roof Information

Roof Structure: 2x4 Manufactured Trusses @ 24" O.C.
Roofing Material: Asphalt Shingles (1 layer)
Roof Slope: 14 degrees

Roof Connection Details

Lag Screws: (2) #14 Self-Drilling Screw with a minimum penetration depth of 2" at 48" O.C. max
Stagger attachments to avoid overloading any individual rafter.
Note: Required embedment length excludes the tapered tip of the screw, and embedment into sheathing.

Engineering Analysis

The proposed installation - including weight of panels, racking, mounts, and inverters where applicable - will be approximately 3 psf. In the areas where panels are installed, roof live loads will not be present. Also, where applicable, roof snow loads will be reduced because the slippery surface of the panels will cause the roof to shed additional snow loads relative to the existing roof surface. The reduction of roof live and snow load is adequate to fully or partially compensate for the addition of the panel installation. Because the member forces in the area of the solar panels are not increased by more than 5%, the stresses in the members are not increased by more than 5%, and so per section 807.4 of the 2018 International Existing Building Code (IEBC), the structure need not be altered for gravity loading.

The proposed installation will be 6" max. above the roof surface (flush mounted) and parallel to the roof surface. Therefore, any increase in wind loading on the building structure from the solar panel installation is expected to be negligible. Wind is the governing lateral load case. Because the increase in lateral loading is not increased by more than 10%, per section 805.3 of the adopted 2018 IEBC, the structure need not be altered for lateral loading.

Wind uplift on the panels has been calculated in accordance with the relevant provisions of ASCE 7-10. This loading has been used to verify the adequacy of the connection specified above. Connection locations should be in accordance with design drawings.

IronRidge XR10 rails will support the modules and will fasten to the roof structure with IronRidge QuickMount HUG at a maximum spacing of 48" along the rail with a maximum rail cantilever of 19". The max attachment spacing, rail span and cantilever is valid in all roof zones (1, 2, and 3).

Conclusion

The roof structure need not be altered for either gravity loading (including snow) or lateral loading (including wind). Therefore, the existing structure is permitted to remain unaltered. Connections to the roof must be made per the "Roof Connection Details" section above. Copies of all relevant calculations are enclosed.

Limitations and Disclaimers

The opinion expressed in this letter is made in reliance on the following assumptions: the existing structure is in good condition; the existing structure is free from defects in design or workmanship; and the existing structure was code-compliant at the time of its design and construction. These assumptions have not been independently verified, and we have relied on representations made by your office with respect to the foregoing. The undersigned has not inspected the structure for defects, although we have reviewed the information provided by your office, including pictures where applicable.

Electrical design is excluded from this analysis. Solar panels must be installed per manufacturer specifications. Structural design and analysis of the adequacy of solar panels, racks, mounts, and other components is performed by each component's respective manufacturer; the undersigned makes no statement of opinion regarding such components. This letter and the opinions expressed herein are rendered solely for the benefit of the permitting authority (city or county building department) and your office, and may not be utilized or relied on by any other party.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (208)-994-1680, or email me directly at Trevor@pvletters.com.

Sincerely,
Trevor A. Jones, P.E.



06/14/23



PV Letters


Standard Loading Comparison

This calculation justifies the additional solar load by comparing existing to proposed gravity loads in the location of the solar panels.

	<u>Without Solar</u>	<u>With Solar</u>	
Dead Load			
Asphalt Shingles	3	3	psf
1/2" Plywood	1	1	psf
Framing	4	4	psf
Insulation	1	1	psf
1/2" Gypsum Ceiling	2	2	psf
M,E, & Misc	1.5	1.5	psf
Solar Panel	0	3	psf
Total Dead Load	12.5	15.5	psf
Snow Load			
Ground Snow Load, P_g	10		psf
Exposure Factor, C_e	1		
Thermal Factor, C_t	1.1		
Importance Factor, I_s	1		
Flat Roof Snow Load	8		Eqn. 7.3-1 or jurisdiction min.
Slope	14		degrees
Unobstructed Slippery Surface?	No	Yes	
Slope Factor, C_s	1.00	0.93	
Sloped Roof Snow Load	7.7	7.2	psf
Live Load			
Roof Live Load	20	0	psf
Load Combination			
D + L _r	32.5	15.5	psf
D + S	20.2	22.7	psf
Max. Load	32.5	22.7	psf
% of original		70%	

Result:

Because the total forces are decreased, per the relevant code provisions stated in the body of the letter, the existing roof structure is permitted to remain unaltered.



6/14/23



PV Letters

Lag Screw Calculation (per ASCE 7-10)

This calculation justifies the connection of the solar panels to existing roof members, by showing the connection capacity is equal to or greater than the uplift force demands.

Connection Demand

Spacing perpendicular to rail	38	in	(1/2 panel length)
Roof Angle	14	degrees	
Roof Layout	Gable		
Wind Speed	130	mph	
Exposure Coefficient, K_z	0.95		
Topographic Factor, K_{zt}	1.00		
Directionality Factor, K_d	0.85		
Elevation Factor, K_e	0.99		
Velocity Pressure, q_z	34.3	psf	
Prying Coefficient	1		

	<u>Zones:</u>			
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	
GC_p (max)	0.90	2.20	2.60	
Exposed Panels? ($\gamma_E = 1.5$)	No	No	No	
Spacing parallel to rail, in	48	48	48	
Effective Wind Area on each con., ft^2	12.5	12.5	12.5	
Pressure Equalization Factor, γ_a	0.76	0.76	0.76	
Uplift Force	23.5	57.4	67.8	psf
Max. Uplift Force / Connection (0.6 WL)	176.3	430.9	509.2	lbs
Solar Dead Load (0.6 DL)	22.5	22.5	22.5	lbs
Max. Uplift Force (0.6 WL - 0.6 DL)	153.7	408.3	486.7	lbs

Connection Capacity

Attachment FTG	QuickMount HUG
Attachment location	Framing
Fastener Type	Lag Screw
Fastener Diameter (in)	0.25
Embedment Length (in), min	2
Lumber Species & Grade	SPF #2 (Assumed)
Nominal Withdrawal Capacity W (lbs)	346
# of Screws	2
Load Duration Factor Cd	1.6
Screw Adj. Withdrawal Cap. W' (lbs)	1109
Attachment FTG Strength (lbs) with Cd	1606


NDS 12.2-1

per ftg cert.

Max applied load, lbs	487
Max allowable load, lbs	1109

Compare Adjusted Withdrawal Capacity to ASD Factored Demand

Zones: 1 2 3
 O.K. O.K. O.K.



6/14/23