11/08/2022

RE: Structural Certification for Installation of Residential Solar JOHN WHITE:7 RUSHWOOD COURT, COLUMBIA, SC 29209

Attn: To Whom It May Concern

This Letter is for the existing roof framing which supports the new PV modules as well as the attachment of the PV system to existing roof framing. From the field observation report, the roof is made of Asphalt Shingle roofing over roof plywood supported by 2X4 Trusses at 24 inches. The slope of the roof was approximated to be 30 degrees.

After review of the field observation data and based on our structural capacity calculation, the existing roof framing has been determined to be adequate to support the imposed loads without structural upgrades (30 modules). Contractor shall verify that existing framing is consistent with the described above before install. Should they find any discrepancies, a written approval from SEOR is mandatory before proceeding with install. Capacity calculations were done in accordance with applicable building codes.

Design Criteria

Code	2018 International Building Code (ASCE 7-16)					
Risk category		II	Wind Load	(component	and Cladding)	
Roof Dead Load	Dr	10 psf		V(ult)	117 mph	
PV Dead Load	DPV	3 psf		Exposure	В	
Roof Live Load	Lr	20 psf				
Ground Snow	S	10 psf				

If you have any questions on the above, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Vincent Mwumvaneza, P.E.

H CAROLANDER OF STORAGE OF STORAG

Signed: 11/08/2022

Structural Letter for PV Installation

Date: 11/08/2022

Job Address: 7 RUSHWOOD COURT

COLUMBIA, SC 29209

Job Name: **JOHN WHITE**Job Number: **221108JW**

Scope of Work

This Letter is for the existing roof framing which supports the new PV modules as well as the attachment of the PV system to existing roof framing. All PV mounting equipment shall be designed and installed per manufacturer's approved installation specifications.

Table of Content

Sheet

- 1 Cover
- 2 Attachment checks
- 3 Snow and Roof Framing Check
- 4 Seismic Check and Scope of work

Engineering Calculations Summary

Code	2018 International Building Code (ASCE 7-16)			
Risk category		II		
Roof Dead Load	Dr	10 psf		
PV Dead Load	DPV	3 psf		
Roof Live Load	Lr	20 psf		
Ground Snow	S	10 psf		
Wind Load	(component and Cladding)			
	V (Ult) 1	17 mph		
	Exposure	В		

References

2 NDS for Wood Construction

Sincerely,

Vincent Mwumvaneza, P.E.

No. 37315 RESTONATION OF ESSIONAL MANUAL MAN

Signed: 11/08/2022

Wind Load Cont.

Risk Category =	II	
V=	117	mph ASCE 7-16 Figure 26.5-1B
Exposure =	В	
K _{Zt} =	1.0	ASCE 7-16 Sec 26.8.2
K _Z =	0.62	ASCE 7-16 Table 26.10-1
$K_d =$	0.85	ASCE 7-16 Table 26.6-1
K _e =	0.99	ASCE 7-16 Table 26.9-1
$q_h = 0.00256K_zK_{zt}K_dK_eV^2 =$	18.39	psf
Pitch =	30.0	Degrees
γ _E =	1.5	Conservatively assuming all exposed
γ _a =	0.8	conservatively assuming 10 ft ² effective area

<u>Upli</u>	ift (W)	Zone(1,2e,2r)	Zone(2n)	Zone(3r)	Zone(3e)
Fig. 30-3-2	GC _p =	-1.1	-1.1	-1.45	-1.8
Eq. 29.4-7	$P=q_h(GC_p)(\gamma_E)(\gamma_a)=$	-24.27	-24.27	-32.00	-39.72
	GC _p =	0.9			Figure 30.3-2
	$P=q_h(GC_p)(\gamma_E)(\gamma_a)=$	19.86			Equation 29.4-7

Rafter Attachments: 0.6D+0.6W (CD=1.6)

Connection Check

	Attachement m	ax. spacing=	4	ft	
	5/16" Lag Screw Withdr	awal Value=	266	lbs/in	Table 12.2A - NDS
	Lag Screw Penetrat	ion	2.5 in		DFL Assumed
	Prying	g Coefficient	1.4		
	Allowab	le Capacity=	760	lbs	
Zone	Trib Width	Area (ft)	Uplift (lbs)	Down (lbs)	
Zone(1,2e,2r)	4	11.0	99.0	251.5	
Zone(2n)	4	11.0	99.0	251.5	
Zone(3r)	3	8.3	95.3	251.5	
Zone(3e)	3	8.3	116.3	251.5	
	Conserv	vative Max=	116.3	<	760
			CONNECTION	IS OK	

- 1. Pv seismic dead weight is negligible to result in significant seismic uplift, therefore the wind uplift governs
- 2. Embedment is measured from the top of the framing member to the tapered tip of a lag screw. Embedment in sheading or other material does not count.

Vertical Load Resisting System Design

I. =

1.0

Roof Framing Trusses

Snow Load Fully Exposed

pg = 10 psf $C_e = 0.9$ $C_t = 1.1$

 $p_{fmin.} = 6.9 \text{ psf}$ $p_{s} = 7 \text{ psf}$ 13.9 plf

psf

Conservatively (Cs=1)

(Beam maximum Allowable Horizontal Span)

7

 $p_f =$

Max Length, L = 8.0 ftTributary Width, $W_T = 24 \text{ in}$

> **Dr = 10** psf 20 plf PvDL = 3 psf 6 plf

Load Case: DL+0.6W (CD=1.6)

Pnet+ $P_{pv}cos(\theta)+P_{DL}=$ 49.8 plf

Max Moment, $M_u = 266$ lb-ft Conservatively

Pv max Shear 251.5 lbs Max Shear, V_u =wL/2+Pv Point Load = 355 lbs

Load Case: DL+0.75(0.6W+S) (CD=1.6)

0.75(Pnet+Ps)+ $P_{pv}cos(\theta)+P_{DL}$ = 53 plf M_{down} = 285 lb-ft

Mallowable = $Sx \times Fb'$ (wind)= 634 lb-ft > 285 lb-ft **OK**

Load Case: DL+S (CD=1.15)

Ps+ $P_{pv}cos(\theta)+P_{DL}=$ 39 plf $M_{down}=$ 208 lb-ft

Mallowable = $Sx \times Fb'$ (wind)= 456 lb-ft > 208 lb-ft **OK**

Max Shear, $V_u = wL/2 + Pv$ Point Load = 355 lbs

Member Capacity

DF-L No.2									
2X4	Design Value	C_L	C_F	C_{i}	C_{r}	K _F	ф	λ	Adjusted Value
F _b =	900 psi	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.15	2.54	0.85	8.0	1553 psi
F _v =	180 psi	N/A	N/A	1.0	N/A	2.88	0.75	8.0	180 psi
E =	1600000 psi	N/A	N/A	1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1600000 psi
E _{min} =	580000 psi	N/A	N/A	1.0	N/A	1.76	0.85	N/A	580000 psi

Depth, d = 3.5 in

Width, b = 1.5 in

Cross-Sectonal Area, A = 5.25 in^2 Moment of Inertia, $I_{xx} = 5.35938 \text{ in}^4$

Section Modulus, $S_{xx} = 3.0625 \text{ in}^3$

Allowable Moment, $M_{all} = F_b S_{xx} = 396.2 \text{ lb-ft}$ Allowable Shear, $V_{all} = 2/3F_v A = 630.0 \text{ lb}$ $DCR=M_u/M_{all} = 0.46 < 1$ $DCR=V_u/V_{all} = 0.56 < 1$ Satisfactory Satisfactory

Siesmic Loads Check

Roof Dead Load	10 psf
% or Roof with Pv	24%
Dpv and Racking	3 psf
Averarage Total Dead Load	10.7 psf
Increase in Dead Load	2.9% OK

The increase in seismic Dead weight as a result of the solar system is less than 10% of the existing structure and therefore no further seismic analysis is required.

Limits of Scope of Work and Liability

We have based our structural capacity determination on information in pictures and a drawing set titled PV plans -JOHN WHITE. The analysis was according to applicable building codes, professional engineering and design experience, opinions and judgments. The calculations produced for this Struture's assessment are only for the proposed solar panel installation referenced in the stamped plan set and were made according to generally recognized structural analysis standards and procedures.