RE: Structural Certification for Installation of Residential Solar MICHAEL SPERICO:165 JARED DRIVE,, FUQUAY-VARINA, NC 27526,USA

Attn: To Whom It May Concern

This Letter is for the existing roof framing which supports the new PV modules as well as the attachment of the PV system to existing roof framing. From the field observation report, the roof is made of Asphalt Shingle roofing over roof plywood supported by 2X4 Trusses at 24 inches. The slopes of the roof were approximated to be 18 and 30 degrees. The maximum allowable chord span is 8 feet between supports.

After review of the field observation data and based on our structural capacity calculation, the existing roof framing has been determined to be adequate to support the imposed loads without structural upgrades. Contractor shall verify that existing framing is consistent with the described above before install. Should they find any discrepancies, a written approval from SEOR is mandatory before proceeding with install. Capacity calculations were done in accordance with applicable building codes.

Design Criteria

<u>Code</u>	2018 North Carolina Building Code/IBC 2015				
Risk category		II <u>Wind Load</u> (component and C			
Roof Dead Load	Dr	10 psf		V(ult)	117 mph
PV Dead Load	DPV	3 psf		Exposure	В
Roof Live Load	Lr	20 psf			
Ground Snow	S	15 psf			

If you have any questions on the above, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,



Signed: 10/31/2022

Structural Letter for PV Installation

Date: 10/31/2022

Job Address: 165 JARED DRIVE,

FUQUAY-VARINA, NC 27526,USA

Job Name: MICHAEL SPERICO

Job Number: 221031MS

Scope of Work

This Letter is for the existing roof framing which supports the new PV modules as well as the attachment of the PV system to existing roof framing. All PV mounting equipment shall be designed and installed per manufacturer's approved installation specifications.

Table of Content

Sheet

- 1 Cover
- 2 Attachment checks
- 3 Snow and Roof Framing Check
- 4 Seismic Check and Scope of work

Engineering Calculations Summary

21181110011118 00110	ana eromo o amininar y			
<u>Code</u>	2018 North Carolina Building Code/IBC 2015			
Risk category		II		
Roof Dead Load	Dr	10	psf	
PV Dead Load	DPV	3	psf	
Roof Live Load	Lr	20	psf	
Ground Snow	S	15	psf	
Wind Load	(component and Cladding)			
	V (Ult)	117	mph	
	Exposure	В		

References

2 NDS for Wood Construction

Sincerely,



Wind Load Cont. Risk Category = ASCE 7-10 Table 1.5-1 Ш Wind Speed (3s gust), V = **117** mph **ASCE 7-10 Figure 26.5-1A** Roughness = **ASCE 7-10 Sec 26.7.2** В Exposure = В **ASCE 7-10 Sec 26.7.3** Topographic Factor, K_{ZT} = 1.00 ASCE 7-10 Sec 26.8.2 Pitch = 30.0 Degrees ASCE 7-10 Figure 30.5-1 Adjustment Factor, $\lambda =$ 3.60 ft ASCE 7-10 Figure 30.5-1 a =

Where a: 10% of least horizontal dimension or 0.4h, whichever is smaller, but not less than 4% of least horizontal dimension or 3ft (0.9m)

<u>Uplift (0.6W)</u>	Zone 1 (psf) Zone 2 (psf)		Zone 3 (psf)		
Pnet30=	-17.2	-20.7	-20.7	Figure 30.5-1	
Pnet = 0.6 x λ x KZT x Pnet30)=	10.32	12.43	12.43	Equation 30.5-1	
Downpressure (0.6W)	Zone 1 (psf)	Zone 2 (psf)	Zone 3 (psf)		
Pnet30=	18.8	18.8	18.8	Figure 30.5-1	
Pnet = $0.6 \times \lambda \times KZT \times Pnet30$)=	11.29	11.29	11.29	Equation 30.5-1	

Rafter Attachments: 0.6D+0.6W (CD=1.6)

Connection Check

	Connection Check				
	Attachement m	ax. spacing=	4	ft	
	5/16" Lag Screw Withdr	awal Value=	266	lbs/in	Table 12.2A - NDS
	Lag Screw Penetration	on	2.5	in	DFL Assumed
	Prying	g Coefficient	1.4	in	
	Allowab	le Capacity=	760		
Zone	Trib Width	Area (ft)	Uplift (lbs)	Down (lbs)	
1	4	11.0	93.8	157.2	
2	4	11.0	117.0	157.2	
3	4	11.0	117.0	157.2	
		Max=	117.0	<	760
			CONNECTION	s ок	

- 1. Pv seismic dead weight is negligible to result in significant seismic uplift, therefore the wind uplift governs
- 2. Embedment is measured from the top of the framing member to the tapered tip of a lag screw. Embedment in sheading or other material does not count.

Vertical Load Resisting System Design

Roof Framing Trusses

Snow Load Fully Exposed

pg= 15 psf ASCE 7-10 , Section 7.2 $p_f = 10$ psf $C_e = 0.9$ ASCE 7-10 , Table 7-2 $p_{fmin.} = 15.0$ psf

 $C_t = 1.1$ ASCE 7-10, Table 7-3 $p_s = 15$ psf 24.0 plf

 $I_s = 1.0$ ASCE 7-10, Table 1.5-1

Max Length, L = 8 ft (Beam maximum Allowable Horizontal Span)

Tributary Width, $W_T = 24$ in

Dr = 10 psf 20 plf PvDL = 3 psf 6 plf

Load Case: DL+0.6W

Pnet+ $P_{pv}cos(\theta)+P_{DL}=$ 48.6 plf

Max Moment, M_u = 239 lb-ft Conservatively

Pv max Shear 157.2 lbs

Max Shear, $V_u=wL/2+Pv$ Point Load = 261 lbs

Load Case: DL+0.75(0.6W+S)

0.75(Pnet+Ps)+ $P_{pv}cos(\theta)+P_{DL}=$ 60 plf

 M_{down} = 296 lb-ft

Mallowable = $Sx \times Fb'$ (wind) = 634 lb-ft > 296 lb-ft **OK**

Load Case: DL+S

Ps+ P_{pv} cos(θ)+ P_{DL} = 49 plf

 M_{down} = 242 lb-ft

Mallowable = $Sx \times Fb'$ (wind)= 456 lb-ft > 242 lb-ft **OK**

Max Shear, $V_u=wL/2+Pv$ Point Load = 261 lbs

Member Capacity

DF-L No.2									
2X4	Design Value	C_L	C_F	C_{i}	C_{r}	K_{F}	ф	λ	Adjusted Value
F _b =	900 psi	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.15	2.54	0.85	0.8	1553 psi
F _v =	180 psi	N/A	N/A	1.0	N/A	2.88	0.75	0.8	180 psi
E =	1600000 psi	N/A	N/A	1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1600000 psi
E _{min} =	580000 psi	N/A	N/A	1.0	N/A	1.76	0.85	N/A	580000 psi

Depth, d = 3.5 in

Width, b = 1.5 in

Cross-Sectonal Area, A = 5.25 in^2 Moment of Inertia, $I_{xx} = 5.35938 \text{ in}^4$

Section Modulus, $S_{xx} = 3.0625 \text{ in}^3$

Allowable Moment, $M_{all} = F_b S_{xx} = 396.2 \text{ lb-ft}$ DCR= $M_u/M_{all} = 0.48 < 1$ Satisfactory

Allowable Shear, $V_{all} = 2/3F_v A = 630.0 \text{ lb}$ DCR= $V_u/V_{all} = 0.41 < 1$ Satisfactory

Siesmic Loads Check

Roof Dead Load	10 psf
% or Roof with Pv	36.5%
Dpv and Racking	3 psf
Averarage Total Dead Load	11.1 psf
Increase in Dead Load	7.3% <mark>OK</mark>

The increase in seismic Dead weight as a result of the solar system is less than 10% of the existing structure and therefore no further seismic analysis is required.

Limits of Scope of Work and Liability

We have based our structural capacity determination on information in pictures and a drawing set titled PV plans - MICHAEL SPERICO. The analysis was according to applicable building codes, professional engineering and design experience, opinions and judgments. The calculations produced for this structure's assessment are only for the proposed solar panel installation referenced in the stamped plan set and were made according to generally recognized structural analysis standards and procedures.