

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

(Complete all fields in full)

OWNER: Jeffery + Angela White DATE EVALUATED: 8-13-23

ADDRESS: 390 Clocks corner RD

PROPOSED FACILITY: DW 30.4'x76' PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.0400): 480 PROPERTY SIZE: _____

LOCATION OF SITE: _____ PROPERTY RECORDED: _____

WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Public ☒ Single Family Well ☐ Shared Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____ WATER SUPPLY SETBACK: _____

EVALUATION METHOD: ☒ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☒ Domestic ☐ High Strength ☐ IPWW

| P R O F I L E # | .0502 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE % | HORIZON DEPTH (IN.) | SOIL MORPHOLOGY | | OTHER PROFILE FACTORS | | | | .0509 PROFILE CLASS & LTAR* | .0503 SLOPE CORRE CTION |
|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | .0503 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE | .0503 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY | .0504 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR | .0505 SOIL DEPTH | .0506 SAPRO CLASS | .0507 RESTR HORIZ | | |
| 1 2 3 4 | 3-4% LS | 0-7 | SL, gr | | | 48" | | | .3 | |
| | | 7-40 | SEC, SBK | FI, SS, SP, SE | | | | | | |
| | | 40-48 | CL, UK SBK | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| DESCRIPTION | INITIAL SYSTEM | REPAIR SYSTEM | SITE CLASSIFICATION (.0509): <u>5</u> EVALUATED BY: <u>HL</u> OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____ |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|
| Available Space (.0508) | <u>✓</u> | <u>✓</u> | |
| System Type(s) | <u>Conventional</u> | <u>Conventional</u> | |
| Site LTAR | <u>.3</u> | <u>.3</u> | |
| Maximum Trench Depth | <u>18-28</u> | <u>18-28"</u> | |
| Comments: _____ | | | |
| _____ | | | |
| _____ | | | |

LEGEND

| LANDSCAPE POSITION | SOIL GROUP | SOIL TEXTURE | CONVENTIONAL LTAR (gpd/ft²) | SAPROLITE LTAR (gpd/ft²) | LPP LTAR (gpd/ft²) | MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE | | STRUCTURE |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| CC (Concave slope) | I | S (Sand) | 0.8 - 1.2 | 0.6 - 0.8 | 0.4 - 0.6 | MOIST | WET | SG (Single grain) |
| CV (Convex Slope) | | LS (Loamy sand) | | 0.5 - 0.7 | | Lo (Loose) | NS (Non-sticky) | M (Massive) |
| D (Drainage way) | II | SL (Sandy loam) | 0.6 - 0.8 | 0.4 - 0.6 | 0.3 - 0.4 | VFR (Very friable) | SS (Slightly sticky) | GR (Granular) |
| FP (Flood plain) | | L (Loam) | | 0.2 - 0.4 | | FR (Friable) | S (Sticky) | SBK (Subangular blocky) |
| FS (Foot slope) | III | SiL (Silt loam) | 0.3 - 0.6 | 0.1 - 0.3 | 0.15 - 0.3 | FI (Firm) | VS (Very sticky) | ABK (Angular blocky) |
| H (Head slope) | | SCL (Sandy clay loam) | | 0.05 - 0.15** | | VFI (Very firm) | NP (Non-plastic) | PR (Prismatic) |
| L (Linear Slope) | | CL (Clay loam) | | None | | EFI (Extremely firm) | SP (Slightly plastic) | PL (Platy) |
| N (Nose slope) | | SiCL (Silty clay loam) | | | | | P (Plastic) | |
| R (Ridge/summit) | | Si (Silt) | | | | | | |
| S (Shoulder slope) | | IV | | | | SC (Sandy clay) | 0.1 - 0.4 | 0.05 - 0.2 |
| T (Terrace) | SiC (Silty clay) | | EXP (Expansive) | | | | | |
| TS (Toe Slope) | C (Clay) | | | | | | | |
| | | O (Organic) | None | | | | | |

* Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality.

**Sandy clay loam saprolite can only be used with advanced pretreatment in accordance with 15A NCAC 18E .1200.

HORIZON DEPTH

In inches below natural soil surface

DEPTH OF FILL

In inches from land surface

RESTRICTIVE HORIZON

Thickness and depth from land surface

SAPROLITE

S(suitable) or U(unsuitable); Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

SOIL WETNESS

Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation

CLASSIFICATION

S (Suitable) or U (Unsuitable)

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).

Harnett County Environmental Health

SITE SKETCH

PIN 0615-71-0286.000

Permit Number BRES2507-0093

WHITE JEFFERY EUGENE & WHITE ANGELA KAYE

Applicant's Name

Ren Levocz

Authorized State Agent

Subdivision/Section/Lot Number

08/29/2025

Date

System components represent approximate contours only. The contractor must flag the system prior to beginning the installation to ensure that the proper grade is maintained.

Scale = NTS

Sail Notes

